

It follows that the triangles  $PAB$  and  $PCD$  are isosceles with  $PA = PB$  and  $PC = PD$  (1).

Furthermore, since they are similar (congruent angles) we have

$$\frac{PA}{AB} = \frac{PC}{CD} = \frac{PA+PC}{AB+CD} = \frac{AC}{AB+CD} = 1. \text{ Thus, } PA = AB \text{ and } PC = CD \text{ (2).}$$

From (1) and (2) we conclude that triangles  $PAB$  and  $PCD$  are equilateral.

Let  $p = AB = PA = PB$ ,  $q = CD = PC = PD$ ,  $r = BC = AD$ ,  $t = p + q + r$  and  $h$  the height of the trapezoid. Then we have

$$\frac{p}{q} = \frac{ph}{qh} = \frac{2[ABC]}{2[ACD]} = \frac{12(2p+q+r)}{35(p+2q+r)} = \frac{12(p+t)}{35(q+t)} \quad \text{or} \quad 23pq = t(12q - 35p) \text{ (3)}$$

Since the trapezoid is isosceles, it is cyclic, so by Ptolemy's Theorem we have

$$pq + r^2 = (p+q)^2 \text{ (4) or } pq = t(p+q-r) \text{ (5)}$$

$$\text{By (3) and (5) we obtain } 58p + 11q = 23r \text{ (6)}$$

Finally, applying the well-known formula  $r = (s-a) \tan \frac{A}{2}$  in triangles  $ACD$  and  $BAC$  we have

$$23 = 35 - 12 = \left( \frac{p+2q+r}{2} - r \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} - \left( \frac{2p+q+r}{2} - r \right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} = \frac{q-p}{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$q - p = 46\sqrt{3} \text{ (7).}$$

Solving the system of equations (4), (6) and (7) we find  $p = 17\sqrt{3}$ ,  $q = 63\sqrt{3}$ , and  $r = 73\sqrt{3}$ .

Therefore the perimeter of trapezoid is  $p + q + 2r = 226\sqrt{3}$ .

**Also solved by Ed Gray, Highland Beach, FL; Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China; Albert Stadler, Herrliberg, Switzerland; Malik Sheykhov (student at the France-Azerbaijan University in Azerbaijan) and Talman Residli (student at Azerbaijan Medical University in Baku, Azerbaijan); David Stone and John Hawkins, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA, and the proposer**

• **5410:** *Proposed by Arkady Alt, San Jose, CA*

For the given integers  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 2$  find the largest value of the integer semiperimeter of a triangle with integer side lengths  $t_1, t_2, t_3$  satisfying the inequalities  $t_i \leq a_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Solution 1 by Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China**

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3$ . Let

$$T_1 = \{2, 3, \dots, a_1\}, \quad T_2 = \{2, 3, \dots, a_2\}, \quad T_3 = \{2, 3, \dots, a_3\}$$

$$T = \{(t_1, t_2, t_3) : t_1 \in T_1, t_2 \in T_2, t_3 \in T_3\} \text{ and}$$

$$S = T \cap \{(t_1, t_2, t_3) : t_1, t_2, t_3 \text{ are the side lengths of a triangle}\}.$$

$$\text{Let } L = \underset{(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in S}{\text{Maximum}} \frac{t_1 + t_2 + t_3}{2}. \text{ We show that } L = \begin{cases} \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{2}, & \text{if } a_2 + a_3 > a_1 \\ a_2 + a_3 - \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } a_2 + a_3 \leq a_1. \end{cases}$$

Case 1:  $a_2 + a_3 > a_1$

We have  $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in S$  and clearly  $L = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{2}$ .

Case 2:  $a_2 + a_3 \leq a_1$

We have  $(a_2 + a_3 - 1, a_2, a_3) \in S$  so that  $L \geq a_2 + a_3 - \frac{1}{2}$ . If  $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in T$

and  $t_1 > a_2 + a_3 - 1$ , then  $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \notin S$ . If  $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in T$  then  $t_1 < a_2 + a_3 - 1$ , then  $\frac{t_1 + t_2 + t_3}{2} < \frac{(a_2 + a_3 - 1) + a_2 + a_3}{2} = a_2 + a_3 - \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence,  $L = a_2 + a_3 - \frac{1}{2}$  in this case.

This completes the solution.

### Solution 2 by proposer

Let  $s = \frac{t_1 + t_2 + t_3}{2}$ . Since  $t_i < s, i = 1, 2, 3$  then by the triangle inequality our problem becomes the following: Find the maximum of  $s$  for which there are positive integer numbers  $t_1, t_2, t_3$  satisfying  $t_i \leq \min\{a_i, s - 1\}, i = 1, 2, 3, t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = 2s$ .

First note that  $s \geq 3, t_i \geq 2, i = 1, 2, 3$ . Indeed, since  $t_i \leq s - 1$  then  $1 \leq s - t_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  and therefore  $t_1 = 2s - t_2 - t_3 = (s - t_2) + (s - t_3) \geq 2$ . Cyclicly we obtain  $t_2, t_3 \geq 2$ . Hence,  $2s \geq 6 \iff s \geq 3$ .

Since  $t_3 = 2s - t_1 - t_2, 2 \leq t_3 \leq \min\{a_3, s - 1\}$ , then

$1 \leq 2s - t_1 - t_2 \leq \min\{a_3, s - 1\} \iff \max\{2s - t_1 - a_3, s + 1 - t_1\} \leq t_2 \leq 2s - 1 - t_1$ , and therefore, we obtain the inequality for  $t_2$ , namely that

$$(1) \quad \max\{2s - t_1 - a_3, s + 1 - t_1, 2\} \leq t_2 \leq \min\{2s - 1 - t_1, a_2, s - 1\}$$

with the conditions of solvability being:

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} 2s - t_1 - a_3 \leq s - 1 \\ 2s - t_1 - a_3 \leq a_2 \\ s + 1 - t_1 \leq a_2 \\ 2 \leq 2s - 1 - t_1 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} s + 1 - a_3 \leq t_1 \\ 2s - a_2 - a_3 \leq t_1 \\ s + 1 - a_2 \leq t_1 \\ t_1 \leq 2s - 3 \end{cases}$$

Since  $s - 1 \leq 2s - 3$ , then (2) together with  $2 \leq t_1 \leq \min\{a_1, s - 1\}$  gives us the bounds for  $t_1$

$$(3) \quad \max\{s + 1 - a_3, 2s - a_2 - a_3, s + 1 - a_2, 2\} \leq t_1 \leq \min\{a_1, s - 1\}.$$

Since  $2 \leq a_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  then  $s + 1 - a_2 \leq s - 1, s + 1 - a_3 \leq s - 1$  and the solvability condition for (3) becomes

$$s + 1 - a_3 \leq a_1 \iff s \leq a_1 + a_3 - 1, 2s - a_2 - a_3 \leq a_1 \iff s \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

$$s + 1 - a_2 \leq a_1 \iff s \leq a_1 + a_2 - 1, 2s - a_2 - a_3 \leq s - 1 \iff s \leq a_2 + a_3 - 1.$$

Thus,  $s^* = \min \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{2} \right\rfloor, a_1 + a_2 - 1, a_2 + a_3 - 1, a_3 + a_1 - 1 \right\}$  is the largest integer value of the semiperimeter.

### Solution 3 by Ed Gray, Highland Beach, FL

We consider several special cases:

a) If  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 2k$ , we can equate  $t_i = a_i$  for each  $i$ . The perimeter is then  $6k$  and the semiperimeter is  $3k$ .

b) Suppose  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 2k + 1$ . We note that  $a_1 + a_2 = 4k + 2$  and  $a_3 - 1 = 2k$ . We define  $t_1 = a_1$ ,  $t_2 = a_2$  and  $t_3 = a_3 - 1$ .

c) Suppose that  $a_1 = a_2$  and  $a_3$  is larger than either one. In this case we set  $t_1 = a_1$  and  $t_2 = a_2$ . It doesn't matter if  $a_1, a_2$  are both even or both odd,  $t_1 + t_2$  is even. We now have to avoid a potential problem. It must be true that  $t_1 + t_2 \geq t_3$ . Therefore, since if  $a_3$  is large, we need to define  $t_3 = a_3 - x$ , where  $x$  is the integer which is the smallest such that  $a_3 - x$  is even and  $t_1 + t_2 > t_3$ . Since  $t_1 + t_2 + t_3$  is even, the semiperimeter is integral.

d) Suppose that  $a_1 = a_2$  and  $a_3$  is smaller than either one, in this case set  $t_1 = a_1, t_2 = a_2$ , so that  $t_1 + t_2$  is even. If  $a_3 = 2$ , we let  $t_3 = 2$ . If  $a_3 > 2$ , but odd, we set  $t_3 = a_3 - 1$ . Then  $t_1 + t_2 + t_3$  equals the perimeter which is even and with an integer semiperimeter, and the triangle inequalities hold.

e) Finally, we have the general case:  $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ . We set  $t_1 = a_1, t_2 = a_2$ . If  $t_1 + t_2$  is even we need  $t_3$  to be even. If  $a_3$  is very far so that  $a_1 + a_2 < a_3$ , we let  $t_3 = a_3 - x$ , where  $x$  is the smallest integer which simultaneously makes  $t_1 + t_2 + t_3$  even and  $t_1 + t_2 > t_3$ . If  $t_1 + t_2$  is odd, we employ a similar calculation.

#### Solution 4 by Paul M. Harms, North Newton, KS

Suppose  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3$ . The largest perimeter would be  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3$  where  $t_i = a_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  provided that we have a triangle, i.e.,  $a_1 + a_2 > a_3$ .

If  $a_1 + a_2 > a_3$ , and the perimeter is an even integer, then the largest value of an integer semiperimeter is  $\frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{2}$ .

If the perimeter is an odd integer, then  $a_3$  must be at least 3 and we could use sides  $t_1 = a_1, t_2 = a_2$  and  $t_3 = a_3 - 1$ . The largest integer semiperimeter for this case is  $\frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - 1}{2}$ .

Now consider the case where  $a_1 + a_2 \leq a_3$ . A triangle with a maximum perimeter is when  $t_1 = a_1$ ,  $a_2 = t_2$ , and  $t_3 = a_1 + a_2 - 1$ . Here  $t_3 > a_1, a_2$  and the perimeter is the odd integer  $2a_1 + 2a_2 - 1$ . To get the largest integer semiperimeter we could use  $t_1 = a_1$ ,  $t_2 = a_2$  and  $t_3 = a_1 + a_2 - 2$  which has  $a_1 + a_2 - 1$  as the largest integer semiperimeter.

Also solved by Jeremiah Bartz and Timothy Prescott, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND; David Stone and John Hawkins, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA

- **5411:** Proposed by D.M. Băţinetu-Giurgiu, "Matei Basarab" National College, Bucharest, Romania and Neculai Stanciu, "George Emil Palade" General School, Buzău, Romania

Let  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}, (b_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be real valued positive sequences with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = a \in R_+^*$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n(a_n - a)) = b \in R$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n(b_n - a)) = c \in R$  compute

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( a_{n+1} \sqrt[n+1]{(n+1)!} - b_n \sqrt[n]{n!} \right).$$

Note:  $R_+^*$  means the positive real numbers without zero.